Journal of Teaching and Learning
Volume 2 No 2 January 2026
E-ISSN: 3090-0158
https://journal.as-salafiyah.id/index.php/jtl/index
jtleditor@gmail.com

Technology Integration And Student Engagement In Teaching And Learning Contexts

[1]Nur 'Azah
[1]UNHASY Tebuireng Jombang, Indonesia.
[1]nurazah31@gmail.com.

**Correspondence Email: nurazah31@gmail.com.*

Abstract: *This article examines how technology integration influences student engagement in teaching and learning contexts. The study addresses three dimensions of engagement, namely behavioral, emotional, and cognitive engagement, in order to understand how digital tools shape students' participation, feelings, and mental investment in learning. A qualitative design using library research was employed. Relevant journal articles, books, and academic publications on educational technology, digital learning, and student engagement were identified, selected, and analyzed through a thematic interpretive process. The findings show that technology integration strengthens behavioral engagement through interactive participation, flexible access, and continuous involvement in learning tasks. It also supports emotional engagement by increasing interest, confidence, enjoyment, and a sense of belonging through dynamic and inclusive learning experiences. Cognitive engagement is enhanced through inquiry-based activities, self-paced learning, reflective processes, and collaborative knowledge construction. The study concludes that technology becomes educationally meaningful when it is aligned with learning objectives, supported by purposeful instructional design, and used to create active, inclusive, and intellectually rich learning environments for students.*
Keywords: *Technology Integration, Student Engagement, Behavioral Engagement, Emotional Engagement, Cognitive Engagement, Digital Learning*

INTRODUCTION

The rapid expansion of digital technology has reshaped teaching and learning across educational levels. Classrooms are no longer defined only by face-to-face explanation, printed textbooks, and teacher-centered instruction. Educational practice now includes learning management systems, digital quizzes, online discussion spaces, interactive presentations, multimedia content, and collaborative platforms that support communication and knowledge sharing. This shift has influenced not only how content is delivered but also how students participate in academic activities. Technology integration is often presented as a marker of educational innovation because it offers flexibility, broader access to resources, and varied modes of interaction. Its pedagogical value, though, depends on whether digital tools can foster meaningful student engagement rather than merely adding technical features to instruction.

Journal of Teaching and Learning
Volume 2 No 2 January 2026
E-ISSN: 3090-0158
https://journal.as-salafiyah.id/index.php/jtl/index
jtleditor@gmail.com

Research on educational technology has shown that the impact of technology is closely tied to the quality of learning design, the purpose of classroom use, and the degree to which students are invited to become active participants in the learning process (Bond & Bedenlier, 2019; Redmond et al., 2018).

Student engagement is widely recognized as a multidimensional construct that reflects how students participate, feel, and think during learning. Fredricks et al. (2004) describe engagement through three interrelated dimensions: behavioral, emotional, and cognitive engagement. Behavioral engagement refers to students' visible involvement in academic tasks such as attendance, participation, task completion, and contribution to classroom activities. Emotional engagement concerns students' affective responses to learning, including interest, enjoyment, enthusiasm, belonging, and attachment to the learning environment. Cognitive engagement points to the mental effort students invest in understanding ideas, solving problems, reflecting on concepts, and applying self-regulated learning strategies. These dimensions are closely associated with academic development because engaged students tend to persist in difficult tasks, respond actively to instructional opportunities, and build deeper understanding of subject matter. This perspective positions engagement as a central indicator of learning quality and as a key lens for examining the educational significance of technology integration (Fredricks et al., 2004; Henrie et al., 2015).

The growing use of digital tools in education raises important questions about the relationship between technology integration and student engagement. Teachers and institutions often adopt technology with the expectation that it will make learning more interactive, relevant, and motivating. Digital environments can support participation through online forums, quizzes, collaborative documents, simulations, and multimedia materials that make learning activities more dynamic. At the same time, the presence of technology does not automatically guarantee high-quality engagement. Some forms of digital use may encourage only surface participation, fragmented attention, or passive consumption of information. Studies of technology-mediated learning suggest that engagement should not be understood simply as access to devices or platforms. It should be examined in relation to how learners interact with tasks, peers, teachers, and content within digitally supported environments. A review by Henrie et al. (2015) emphasizes

that engagement in technology-mediated learning requires attention to context, measurement, and the distinct ways students act and respond in digital settings. This view suggests that technology integration must be interpreted as a pedagogical process rather than a technical insertion into classroom routines.

Current scholarship also indicates that technology can influence engagement in distinct yet connected ways. Educational technology has been shown to support behavioral engagement by increasing participation opportunities through immediate response systems, collaborative applications, and structured online tasks. It can strengthen emotional engagement when digital learning experiences feel relevant, enjoyable, inclusive, and responsive to students' needs. It can support cognitive engagement by expanding access to information, enabling self-paced study, and promoting inquiry, analysis, and reflection through interactive tasks. Bond and Bedenlier (2019) found that educational technology is strongly associated with behavioral forms of engagement, while emotional and cognitive dimensions depend heavily on how learning activities are designed and facilitated. Redmond et al. (2018) also argue that online engagement includes social, cognitive, behavioral, collaborative, and emotional elements, showing that digital learning environments require broader pedagogical attention than simple technological adoption. These studies suggest that the effectiveness of technology integration lies in purposeful instructional use that aligns tools with learning goals and student needs.

This article is guided by three research questions that frame the analysis of technology integration and student engagement in teaching and learning contexts. The study asks how technology integration influences students' behavioral engagement in academic activities. It also asks how technology integration shapes students' emotional engagement during the learning process. Another question examines how technology integration supports students' cognitive engagement in constructing understanding, solving problems, and sustaining academic effort. These questions are formulated to provide a focused framework for analyzing the pedagogical role of technology across the major dimensions of student engagement identified in the literature (Fredricks et al., 2004; Redmond et al., 2018).

This discussion remains important because educational institutions continue to invest in digital infrastructure, online learning systems, and teacher professional development related to

technology use. Such investments require a clear understanding of how technology can improve the quality of learning rather than simply modernize classroom appearance. A meaningful analysis of behavioral, emotional, and cognitive engagement can help explain why some forms of technology integration enhance learning while others produce limited educational value. The present article argues that effective technology integration depends on instructional intention, learner needs, interaction quality, and the capacity of teachers to design engaging learning experiences. In this sense, technology should be understood as a pedagogical resource whose value emerges through thoughtful use in teaching and learning contexts (Bond & Bedenlier, 2019; Henrie et al., 2015).

METHOD

This study employed a qualitative design using library research to examine the relationship between technology integration and student engagement in teaching and learning contexts. Library research was selected because it enables the researcher to explore, interpret, and synthesize published knowledge from relevant academic sources in a systematic way. This approach is suitable for studies that aim to develop conceptual understanding and theoretical interpretation rather than statistical measurement. In educational research, library-based inquiry is valuable for identifying how a topic has been discussed across different scholarly traditions and for constructing a coherent analytical framework from previous findings (Creswell & Creswell, 2018; Snyder, 2019).

The data sources consisted of peer-reviewed journal articles, scholarly books, conference papers, and academic publications related to digital learning, educational technology, student engagement, and classroom instruction. The sources were selected based on relevance to the topic, clarity of conceptual contribution, and consistency with the three research questions concerning behavioral, emotional, and cognitive engagement. Priority was given to widely cited and reputable publications that discuss the pedagogical use of technology and its implications for student participation and learning processes (Booth et al., 2016; Snyder, 2019).

Data collection was conducted through several stages, including identification, selection, close reading, and classification of relevant literature. The collected sources were organized

according to the focus of the study, then grouped into themes related to forms of engagement and patterns of technology use in instructional settings. Data analysis followed a thematic interpretive procedure in which the researcher examined recurring ideas, conceptual relationships, and major insights across the literature. This process allowed the study to construct an analytical explanation of how technology integration supports student engagement in different dimensions. The method was not intended to produce statistical generalization. Its aim was to generate a structured academic interpretation that highlights the pedagogical value of technology within teaching and learning contexts (Creswell & Creswell, 2018; Booth et al., 2016).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Technology Integration and Behavioral Engagement

The analysis indicates that technology integration plays an important role in strengthening students' behavioral engagement in teaching and learning contexts. Behavioral engagement is reflected in visible forms of participation such as attending class, completing assignments, responding to instructional tasks, and contributing to classroom interaction. In digitally enriched learning environments, these forms of participation are no longer confined to oral responses or paper-based activities. Students can participate through online quizzes, discussion forums, shared documents, polling tools, and learning management systems that make their engagement more observable and continuous. This shift shows that technology can widen the range of actions through which students demonstrate involvement in learning activities. When digital tools are aligned with clear instructional purposes, students are more likely to stay attentive, respond promptly, and sustain participation across classroom sessions (Dixson, 2015; Martin & Bolliger, 2018).

Interactive technologies create structured opportunities for students to become active participants rather than passive recipients of information. Educational platforms that include quizzes, instant feedback, collaborative writing spaces, and classroom response tools encourage students to act during the lesson instead of waiting until the end of instruction. Gamified applications also contribute to this pattern because they transform routine academic tasks into activities that invite repetition, timely response, and visible progress. In many cases, students show

stronger willingness to participate when tasks are presented through formats that feel accessible, dynamic, and familiar to their everyday digital experiences. Martin and Bolliger (2018) found that learner engagement in technology-supported environments is closely associated with meaningful interaction, especially when students are given opportunities to connect with content, instructors, and peers through well-designed digital activities. This finding supports the view that behavioral engagement grows when technology is used to create immediate pathways for action.

Technology integration also extends behavioral engagement beyond classroom boundaries. Students are able to access materials, review content, submit work, and join academic discussions outside scheduled instructional hours. This flexibility reshapes participation as an ongoing learning practice rather than a behavior tied only to physical presence in class. Digital platforms make it possible for students to revisit tasks, respond asynchronously, and remain involved in learning activities according to their pace and circumstances. Such continuity is particularly important in contemporary teaching and learning contexts where interaction may occur across blended or fully online environments. Dixson (2015) notes that online engagement becomes visible through patterns of participation, communication, and task involvement that reflect students' commitment to the learning process. This perspective suggests that technology not only increases access to participation but also broadens the temporal and spatial dimensions of behavioral engagement.

The findings also show that the effectiveness of technology in promoting behavioral engagement depends strongly on pedagogical design. Digital tools do not automatically produce active participation. Students may become passive when technology is used only for one-way presentation, static content delivery, or administrative convenience. Active engagement emerges when teachers design learning experiences that require students to respond, collaborate, create, and remain accountable for their contributions. Instructional structure matters because students need clear expectations, meaningful tasks, and opportunities to see that their participation has academic value. Borup et al. (2020) emphasize that teacher presence and intentional course design are central to encouraging student participation in digital learning environments. This indicates that technology works best when it is embedded in active learning strategies rather than treated as a neutral supplement.

Journal of Teaching and Learning
Volume 2 No 2 January 2026
E-ISSN: 3090-0158
https://journal.as-salafiyah.id/index.php/jtl/index
jtleditor@gmail.com

A further point from the analysis is that behavioral engagement supported by technology can strengthen classroom inclusivity. Some students who are reluctant to speak in conventional classroom settings may feel more comfortable contributing through discussion boards, chat tools, or shared digital workspaces. These alternative modes of response can reduce barriers to participation and allow a wider range of students to demonstrate involvement. Engagement, in this sense, becomes more flexible and less dependent on a single form of classroom interaction. The pedagogical value of technology lies in its capacity to diversify participation channels while maintaining focus on academic goals. Taken together, the findings suggest that technology integration strengthens behavioral engagement when it is used to create responsive, participatory, and well-structured learning environments that invite sustained student action (Borup et al., 2020; Martin & Bolliger, 2018).

Technology Integration and Emotional Engagement

The findings indicate that technology integration contributes to students' emotional engagement by influencing how they feel about learning experiences. Emotional engagement includes interest, enjoyment, enthusiasm, confidence, and a sense of connection to the learning environment. In technology-supported instruction, these affective responses are shaped by the quality of students' interactions with digital content, learning tasks, and classroom communication. When digital tools are used in ways that make learning more interactive, visually appealing, and relevant to students' experiences, students are more likely to feel interested and emotionally connected to academic activities. Emotional engagement is important because students who enjoy learning and feel psychologically connected to the classroom tend to participate more consistently and sustain greater commitment to the learning process (Alshammari et al., 2025; Xie et al., 2019).

Multimedia resources such as videos, animations, simulations, and interactive presentations can make abstract concepts easier to understand and more attractive to students. These formats create variety in instructional delivery and reduce the monotony that often accompanies conventional exposition. Students frequently report stronger interest when lessons are presented through dynamic media that resemble the digital environments they encounter in everyday life. Technology-supported materials can also strengthen confidence because students are able to pause, replay, revisit, and explore content at their own pace. This sense of control can reduce anxiety and

support a more positive emotional orientation toward learning. Xie et al. (2019) found that students' engagement in online learning environments is closely related to the design of activities and the quality of interaction, indicating that emotional responses are shaped not only by content but also by the structure of the learning experience itself.

Another important aspect of emotional engagement is students' sense of belonging and interpersonal connection. Digital tools can support this dimension by creating spaces where students communicate, share ideas, and receive acknowledgment from teachers and peers. Online discussion forums, collaborative platforms, chat features, and feedback tools can foster a feeling of inclusion, especially for students who may feel hesitant to participate in face-to-face settings. These technologies provide alternative channels for expression, allowing students to contribute in ways that feel safer and more manageable. When students perceive that their voices are recognized and that they are part of a supportive learning community, they are more likely to develop positive feelings toward learning. Research on online higher education has shown that belongingness is strongly connected to students' emotional experiences and academic persistence, particularly when the learning environment communicates openness, care, and responsiveness (Bull et al., 2024; Zengilowski et al., 2023).

The analysis also shows that emotional engagement is closely tied to social and teaching presence in technology-mediated environments. Students are unlikely to feel emotionally invested when digital learning is limited to isolated content delivery or mechanically assigned tasks. They are more likely to enjoy learning when teachers create clear communication, responsive feedback, and opportunities for social interaction. Emotional engagement grows in digital settings where students experience human presence alongside technological support. Alshammari et al. (2025) found that social presence and cognitive presence were significant influences on student engagement in online learning, showing that emotionally meaningful learning requires a sense of interaction and community rather than access to digital platforms alone. This suggests that emotional engagement emerges through relationships and participation, not through technological novelty by itself.

The findings also reveal that the emotional benefits of technology depend on instructional relevance and usability. Students may lose interest when digital tasks are repetitive, overly

complex, or disconnected from learning goals. Confusion, technical frustration, and poorly structured online activities can weaken students' enjoyment and sense of confidence. Emotional engagement becomes stronger when teachers select tools that are appropriate for the content, easy to navigate, and aligned with students' developmental characteristics. In this context, technology functions as a medium for creating meaningful, enjoyable, and inclusive learning experiences rather than as an end in itself. Taken together, the findings suggest that technology integration supports emotional engagement when it helps students feel interested, capable, included, and connected within the learning environment (Bull et al., 2024; Xie et al., 2019).

Technology Integration and Cognitive Engagement

The analysis reveals that technology integration supports cognitive engagement by encouraging deeper thinking, self-regulation, and knowledge construction. Cognitive engagement refers to the mental effort students invest in understanding ideas, solving problems, analyzing information, and reflecting on learning processes. In technology-supported environments, this form of engagement grows when students are not only exposed to information but are also invited to question, interpret, connect, and apply knowledge in meaningful ways. Digital tools can create conditions that prompt learners to move beyond passive reception toward active intellectual involvement. This is especially important in contemporary education, where students are expected to develop analytical thinking, independent learning habits, and the ability to manage complex information across multiple sources (Bond et al., 2020; Çakiroğlu et al., 2024).

Digital learning environments often provide access to interactive simulations, multimodal resources, concept-mapping applications, inquiry tasks, and problem-based activities that require students to think more deeply about subject matter. These resources invite learners to compare ideas, test assumptions, interpret evidence, and construct responses based on reasoning rather than memorization alone. Cognitive engagement becomes visible when students invest effort in making sense of information, revising their understanding, and exploring alternative solutions. Bond et al. (2020) found that digital technologies can foster meaningful engagement when they are used in ways that stimulate inquiry, autonomy, and active participation in knowledge construction. This suggests that technology can function as a cognitive scaffold that supports intellectual exploration and sustained academic concentration.

Journal of Teaching and Learning
Volume 2 No 2 January 2026
E-ISSN: 3090-0158
https://journal.as-salafiyah.id/index.php/jtl/index
jtleditor@gmail.com

Technology also strengthens cognitive engagement through personalized and self-paced learning opportunities. Students are able to revisit recorded explanations, access supplementary materials, and repeat difficult sections according to their learning needs. This flexibility supports metacognitive processes because learners can monitor their own progress, recognize areas of difficulty, and choose strategies for improvement. Cognitive engagement is closely connected to this kind of self-regulatory behavior. Students who plan, evaluate, and adapt their learning approaches tend to show stronger intellectual investment in academic tasks. Research on digital learning environments has shown that self-regulated learning strategies are central to meaningful engagement, especially when students are given tools that help them organize information, track performance, and reflect on their progress (Greene et al., 2018; Sun & Rueda, 2012). The pedagogical value of technology lies not only in delivering content but also in helping students become more aware of how they learn.

Another significant contribution of technology to cognitive engagement appears in collaborative knowledge construction. Shared documents, digital whiteboards, online project platforms, and discussion spaces allow students to exchange perspectives, challenge interpretations, and co-construct understanding through continuous interaction. Cognitive engagement is often strengthened when learners explain ideas to others, respond to feedback, and revise their thinking in light of new input. These processes promote deeper processing because understanding is developed through dialogue rather than individual recall alone. Çakiroğlu et al. (2024) emphasize that collaborative technology-supported learning can enhance cognitive participation when students are guided to engage critically with peers and content. This shows that technology can extend thinking by making interaction, revision, and collective inquiry more visible and sustained across time.

The findings also show that technology does not automatically produce deep cognitive engagement. Access to information, digital platforms, or attractive interfaces is not enough to ensure meaningful learning. Students may remain at a surface level when tasks are poorly structured, overly fragmented, or limited to clicking through content without reflection. Cognitive engagement requires strong pedagogical guidance, clear goals, and learning tasks that direct attention toward analysis, reasoning, and problem solving. Reflective prompts, inquiry-based

activities, and well-designed assessment tasks are important because they help students use technological resources with intellectual purpose. Sun and Rueda (2012) note that engagement in online settings depends on both learner motivation and instructional conditions, indicating that cognitive investment grows when teaching design supports active mental processing. Greene et al. (2018) also highlight the importance of learning analytics and self-regulatory supports that help learners remain cognitively focused.

Taken together, the findings suggest that technology integration supports cognitive engagement when it is used to create learning environments that promote inquiry, reflection, self-regulation, and collaborative meaning making. Technology becomes educationally powerful when it helps students think deeply, manage their learning strategically, and construct understanding through interaction with content and peers. Its impact depends on pedagogical enactment rather than technological presence alone. In this sense, digital tools should be viewed as cognitive supports that can enrich learning when aligned with thoughtful instructional design and clear academic purposes (Bond et al., 2020; Greene et al., 2018).

CONCLUSION

The analysis confirms that technology integration has a meaningful contribution to student engagement in teaching and learning contexts. This contribution appears across behavioral, emotional, and cognitive dimensions, showing that engagement is not a single classroom outcome but a multidimensional process shaped by how students participate, feel, and think during learning. In behavioral terms, digital tools expand opportunities for participation through online quizzes, collaborative platforms, discussion forums, and learning management systems that make involvement more visible and continuous. In emotional terms, technology supports interest, enjoyment, confidence, and belonging when learning experiences are interactive, relevant, and responsive to student needs. In cognitive terms, technology promotes inquiry, reflection, self-regulation, and collaborative knowledge construction by giving students access to flexible resources, problem-based tasks, and interactive learning environments. These findings indicate that technology can enrich learning when it is used to support meaningful academic engagement rather than merely to modernize instructional delivery.

The study also shows that technology does not automatically improve engagement. Its educational value depends on pedagogical intention, instructional design, teacher presence, and the alignment between digital tools and learning objectives. Poorly structured technological use may lead to passive participation, confusion, or superficial learning, while carefully designed activities can create participatory, inclusive, and intellectually demanding learning experiences. This means that the success of technology integration lies not in the device or platform itself but in how it is enacted within teaching practice. Educational institutions and teachers need to view technology as a pedagogical resource that requires planning, contextual sensitivity, and thoughtful facilitation. A stronger focus on meaningful integration can help ensure that digital learning environments support sustained student participation, positive emotional connection, and deeper cognitive involvement. Through this perspective, technology becomes a powerful medium for improving the quality of teaching and learning across educational settings.

REFERENCES

Alshammari, S. H., Alias, B. S., & Alshammari, M. T. (2025). The effect of teaching, social, and cognitive presence on student engagement in online courses. *Computers & Education, 224*, 105317. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2025.105317

Bond, M., & Bedenlier, S. (2019). Facilitating student engagement through educational technology: Towards a conceptual framework. *Journal of Interactive Media in Education, 2019*(1), Article 11. https://doi.org/10.5334/jime.528

Bond, M., Buntins, K., Bedenlier, S., Zawacki-Richter, O., & Kerres, M. (2020). Mapping research in student engagement and educational technology in higher education: A systematic evidence map. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 17*, Article 2. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0176-8

Booth, A., Sutton, A., & Papaioannou, D. (2016). *Systematic approaches to a successful literature review* (2nd ed.). SAGE.

Borup, J., Graham, C. R., West, R. E., Archambault, L., & Spring, K. J. (2020). Academic communities of engagement: An expansive lens for examining support structures in blended

and online learning. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, *68*(2), 807–832. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-020-09744-x

Bull, D., Dos Santos, M. E., & Baker, R. Q. (2024). The effect of a belongingness strategy on online higher education students' performance and persistence. *Cogent Education*, *11*(1), 2311612. https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2024.2311612

Çakiroğlu, Ü., Kokoç, M., Gökoğlu, S., Öztürk, M., & Erdoğdu, F. (2024). Examining cognitive engagement in technology-supported collaborative learning: A systematic review. *Education and Information Technologies, 29*, 1269–1294. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-023-11930-7

Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2018). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (5th ed.). SAGE.

Dixson, M. D. (2015). Measuring student engagement in the online course: The Online Student Engagement scale (OSE). *Online Learning*, *19*(4), 143–157. https://doi.org/10.24059/olj.v19i4.561

Fredricks, J. A., Blumenfeld, P. C., & Paris, A. H. (2004). School engagement: Potential of the concept, state of the evidence. *Review of Educational Research, 74*(1), 59–109. https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543074001059

Greene, J. A., Moos, D. C., Azevedo, R., & Winters, F. I. (2018). Exploring differences between graduate and undergraduate students' prior knowledge and self-regulated learning in a hypermedia environment. *Computers in Human Behavior, 87*, 168–177. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2018.05.029

Henrie, C. R., Halverson, L. R., & Graham, C. R. (2015). Measuring student engagement in technology-mediated learning: A review. *Computers & Education*, *90*, 36–53. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2015.09.005

Martin, F., & Bolliger, D. U. (2018). Engagement matters: Student perceptions on the importance of engagement strategies in the online learning environment. *Online Learning*, *22*(1), 205–222. https://doi.org/10.24059/olj.v22i1.1092

Redmond, P., Heffernan, A., Abawi, L., Brown, A., & Henderson, R. (2018). An online engagement framework for higher education. *Online Learning, 22*(1), 183–204. https://doi.org/10.24059/olj.v22i1.1175

Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research, 104*, 333–339. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039

Sun, J. C.-Y., & Rueda, R. (2012). Situational interest, computer self-efficacy and self-regulation: Their impact on student engagement in distance education. *British Journal of Educational Technology, 43*(2), 191–204. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8535.2010.01157.x

Xie, K., Heddy, B. C., & Greene, B. A. (2019). Using social network analysis to understand online problem-based learning and predict performance. *Distance Education, 40*(4), 513–533. https://doi.org/10.1080/01587919.2019.1681899

Zengilowski, A., Bilewicz, M., & Świderska, A. (2023). The collective classroom "we": The role of students' sense of belonging in face-to-face and computer-mediated discussions. *Computers in Human Behavior, 139*, 107530. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2022.107530