



MUNIF: International Journal Of Religion Moderation

ISSN(Online): XXXX-XXXX

Vol 1 no 1 (2025): June 2025

<https://journal.as-salafiyah.id/index.php/munif/index>

Email: editormunif@gmail.com

Countering Religious Extremism: Innovative Deradicalization Strategies For Building Inclusive Communities

¹Sirojuddin Abror, ²Bambang Wahrudin

¹UNSURI Surabaya, Indonesia. ²UMPO Ponorogo, Indonesia.

¹sirojudinabrор89@gmail.com, ²bambangwahrudin@umpo.ac.id

Correspondence Email: sirojudinabrор89@gmail.com

Abstract: Religious extremism poses significant threats to global peace, social cohesion, and inclusive development, requiring innovative and comprehensive deradicalization strategies. This study explores contemporary approaches to countering religious extremism by integrating preventive, rehabilitative, and inclusive frameworks. Using a qualitative descriptive-analytical method, data were collected from academic literature, government reports, policy documents, and successful case studies in multiple contexts, including Indonesia, Malaysia, and international initiatives. The findings highlight four innovative strategies: (1) educational curriculum reforms that promote critical thinking, pluralism, and tolerance; (2) digital literacy campaigns to counter extremist narratives and empower youth against online radicalization; (3) interfaith dialogues and community engagement to foster empathy, trust, and collaboration; and (4) rehabilitation and reintegration programs combining psychological counseling, religious moderation, and vocational training. The study emphasizes the necessity of multisector collaboration among governments, educational institutions, civil society, and international organizations to build inclusive and resilient communities. By adopting a holistic and participatory approach, societies can mitigate the root causes of radicalization, enhance intergroup harmony, and strengthen collective resistance to violent ideologies. These findings provide valuable insights for policymakers, educators, and community leaders seeking to design effective counter-extremism strategies tailored to diverse sociocultural contexts.

Keywords: Religious Extremism, Deradicalization, Inclusivity, Interfaith Dialogue, Policy Innovation.

INTRODUCTION

Religious extremism has become one of the most pressing global concerns, threatening peace, social harmony, and inclusive development. Across various regions, radical ideologies exploit religious narratives to justify intolerance, violence, and exclusion, creating severe risks of social fragmentation and intergroup conflicts (Neumann, 2020). The issue transcends geographical, cultural, and political boundaries, making it a multidimensional challenge that requires coordinated and innovative solutions. In Southeast Asia, for example, groups have



MUNIF: International Journal Of Religion Moderation

ISSN(Online): XXXX-XXXX

Vol 1 no 1 (2025): June 2025

<https://journal.as-salafiyah.id/index.php/munif/index>

Email: editormunif@gmail.com

manipulated religious sentiments to polarize societies, disrupt social cohesion, and mobilize followers toward violent actions (Vidino, 2021).

Traditional counter-extremism policies have often relied heavily on security-oriented approaches, such as surveillance, arrests, intelligence operations, and legal sanctions. While these measures are important for maintaining law and order, they have proven insufficient for addressing the deeper, structural drivers of radicalization (Schmid, 2021). Research shows that these punitive methods often overlook the root causes, including identity crises, social marginalization, economic disparities, and educational deficiencies (Horgan, 2021). Consequently, addressing extremism requires a more comprehensive framework that goes beyond mere suppression and focuses on prevention, rehabilitation, and inclusion.

Radicalization processes are frequently linked to perceived injustices, political exclusion, and social alienation. Studies indicate that young people are particularly vulnerable when they experience feelings of marginalization, lack of belonging, and absence of critical education that fosters independent thinking (Bjørge & Horgan, 2020). Online spaces further amplify these vulnerabilities, as extremist recruiters exploit social media to spread radical ideologies and manipulate religious discourses (Hussain & Saltman, 2019). This highlights the urgent need for digital literacy programs and counter-narrative campaigns to neutralize extremist propaganda in cyberspace (Awan & Zempi, 2019).

In response, scholars and policymakers emphasize the importance of innovative deradicalization strategies that integrate preventive, rehabilitative, and inclusive frameworks (Dalgaard-Nielsen, 2021). Such approaches prioritize empowerment and education over exclusion and repression. The UNESCO Guide on Preventing Violent Extremism through Education underscores that quality education plays a pivotal role in fostering critical thinking, intercultural understanding, and respect for diversity as essential tools to counter radicalization (UNESCO, 2020). By embedding values of pluralism and tolerance into school curricula, societies can build long-term resilience against extremist narratives (Christmann, 2022).



MUNIF: International Journal Of Religion Moderation

ISSN(Online): XXXX-XXXX

Vol 1 no 1 (2025): June 2025

<https://journal.as-salafiyah.id/index.php/munif/index>

Email: editormunif@gmail.com

Furthermore, community-based interventions and interfaith collaborations are critical for creating inclusive social ecosystems. Programs that facilitate dialogue between different faith communities foster empathy, reduce stereotypes, and promote collective ownership of social harmony (Bokhari & Ghosh, 2021). For example, the Makkah Declaration (2019), endorsed by over 1,200 religious leaders from 139 countries, calls for a unified rejection of extremism and the protection of religious freedoms as a foundation for global peacebuilding (Mandaville & Hamid, 2022).

Deradicalization also requires attention to rehabilitation and reintegration. Individuals influenced by extremist ideologies often face stigmatization and socio-economic exclusion after disengagement. Successful rehabilitation programs combine religious counseling, psychological support, and vocational training to facilitate smooth reintegration into society (Koehler, 2021). Indonesia's Badan Nasional Penanggulangan Terorisme (BNPT), for example, has implemented a holistic model integrating life skills development with moderate religious education, demonstrating significant success in reducing recidivism rates among former extremists (Fink & Hearne, 2020).

Digital spaces are another battlefield where extremism must be addressed. Extremist narratives spread rapidly online, particularly among younger demographics (Youngblood, 2020). Governments and civil society must collaborate with technology companies to implement digital monitoring, content regulation, and inclusive counter-narratives. Malaysia's Digital Peace Initiative, for example, integrates the efforts of governments, NGOs, and tech firms to amplify messages of tolerance and coexistence (Brown, 2020; Briggs, 2021).

In addition, deradicalization policies must adopt a multi-sectoral approach, combining efforts across government agencies, educational institutions, civil society organizations, and international bodies. The UNDP's Preventing Violent Extremism framework advocates for inclusive development strategies that address economic inequalities and social injustices, thereby reducing the structural vulnerabilities exploited by extremist groups (UNDP, 2023). Without



MUNIF: International Journal Of Religion Moderation

ISSN(Online): XXXX-XXXX

Vol 1 no 1 (2025): June 2025

<https://journal.as-salafiyah.id/index.php/munif/index>

Email: editormunif@gmail.com

addressing these systemic issues, deradicalization efforts risk remaining superficial and ineffective (Khalil & Zeuthen, 2019).

This study seeks to explore innovative approaches for countering religious extremism, focusing on education, digital literacy, interfaith collaboration, and inclusive policymaking. It proposes a holistic deradicalization framework that prioritizes empowerment, rehabilitation, and community resilience over punitive strategies. By fostering pluralism, empathy, and civic responsibility, societies can develop a sustainable resistance against extremist ideologies and build inclusive communities that celebrate diversity and mutual respect.

METHOD

This study adopts a qualitative descriptive-analytical approach to explore innovative deradicalization strategies and policy frameworks for countering religious extremism. The qualitative approach was chosen because it allows for a deeper understanding of social phenomena within their natural contexts and enables researchers to interpret meanings, experiences, and processes rather than focusing solely on statistical measurements (Creswell & Poth, 2018). Given the complexity of religious extremism, which involves interrelated cultural, social, political, and educational factors, this approach provides the flexibility to examine participants' perspectives, policy impacts, and best practices comprehensively.

The data collection process involved three integrated techniques. First, an extensive literature review was carried out by analyzing peer-reviewed academic journals, government reports, NGO publications, and international policy documents related to deradicalization and counter-extremism. These sources were used to establish a theoretical framework and identify global trends and strategies recommended by organizations such as UNESCO and the United Nations (UNESCO, 2020). Second, a case study analysis was conducted to explore best practices from countries with successful deradicalization programs, including Indonesia's Badan Nasional Penanggulangan Terorisme (BNPT) initiatives, Malaysia's Digital Peace Initiative, and Saudi Arabia's rehabilitation models. This approach allowed the study to capture context-specific



MUNIF: International Journal Of Religion Moderation

ISSN(Online): XXXX-XXXX

Vol 1 no 1 (2025): June 2025

<https://journal.as-salafiyah.id/index.php/munif/index>

Email: editormunif@gmail.com

insights and compare various intervention models in different sociocultural settings (Yin, 2018).

Third, an in-depth review of policy documents was performed to understand national and international frameworks designed to prevent violent extremism, including UNDP's guidelines on inclusive development and education-based counter-extremism strategies (UNDP, 2023).

For data analysis, this study employed a thematic analysis technique based on the framework developed by Miles, Huberman, and Saldaña (2014). The process consisted of three stages: data condensation, which involved selecting and simplifying relevant information; data display, which organized findings into thematic frameworks representing key strategies such as education, digital literacy, interfaith collaboration, and rehabilitation; and conclusion drawing, where insights were synthesized to propose comprehensive models of innovative deradicalization. The combination of these analytical steps ensured that the findings were systematically derived, contextually grounded, and theoretically robust. As Merriam and Tisdell (2016) emphasize, qualitative descriptive analysis not only facilitates rich interpretations but also enhances the credibility and transferability of findings.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Curriculum Reforms for Critical Thinking and Tolerance

Education plays a central role in preventing radicalization and promoting social harmony. Schools and other educational institutions are not only places for transferring knowledge but also essential platforms for shaping students' values, attitudes, and perspectives. Integrating values of moderation (*wasatiyyah*), pluralism, and interfaith understanding into both Islamic and general education curricula has become increasingly necessary to equip young generations with the ability to resist extremist narratives and foster peaceful coexistence (UNESCO, 2020). By embedding these principles, education can function as a preventive tool, strengthening students' resilience against ideological manipulation.

One of the most effective strategies in this regard involves the integration of moderation-based values in the curriculum. The concept of *wasatiyyah*—a balanced and moderate approach to



MUNIF: International Journal Of Religion Moderation

ISSN(Online): XXXX-XXXX

Vol 1 no 1 (2025): June 2025

<https://journal.as-salafiyah.id/index.php/munif/index>

Email: editormunif@gmail.com

religious and social life—has been widely promoted in many Muslim-majority countries as a framework for countering radical interpretations of religion. Incorporating lessons on religious tolerance, empathy, and inclusivity within educational programs enables students to develop a broader worldview and a deeper respect for diversity (Mandaville & Hamid, 2022). This approach also aligns with the United Nations’ Sustainable Development Goal 4 (SDG 4), which emphasizes quality and inclusive education as a means to achieve global peace and stability (UNDP, 2023).

Developing critical thinking skills is another key pillar of curriculum reform. Extremist ideologies often thrive on simplistic and rigid interpretations of complex issues, exploiting individuals’ lack of analytical skills to promote intolerance and violence. By fostering problem-solving, reasoning, and evaluative thinking abilities, educational institutions can empower students to question radical narratives and distinguish facts from propaganda (Brookfield, 2017). Research shows that curricula emphasizing critical literacy and inquiry-based learning contribute significantly to students’ ability to recognize and reject extremist content, particularly in digital spaces where misinformation spreads rapidly (Paul & Elder, 2019).

Equally important is the integration of civic education into the curriculum, which promotes shared values of citizenship, democratic participation, and diversity appreciation. Through civic-oriented lessons, students learn about human rights, social justice, and cultural understanding, enabling them to see themselves as active contributors to inclusive societies rather than passive recipients of ideology (Banks, 2017). In multicultural contexts, civic education fosters empathy and encourages engagement with people from different religious, ethnic, and cultural backgrounds, thus reducing prejudice and intergroup tensions (Levinson, 2018).

Countries like Indonesia provide practical examples of curriculum innovation for deradicalization. The Indonesian government, through the Ministry of Religious Affairs and the Badan Nasional Penanggulangan Terorisme (BNPT), has piloted “Peace Education” modules within madrasa curricula. These programs aim to integrate themes of tolerance, non-violence, and interfaith cooperation, which have demonstrated significant success in reducing students’ susceptibility to radical ideologies (Azra, 2021). Similar initiatives have also been observed in



MUNIF: International Journal Of Religion Moderation

ISSN(Online): XXXX-XXXX

Vol 1 no 1 (2025): June 2025

<https://journal.as-salafiyah.id/index.php/munif/index>

Email: editormunif@gmail.com

Malaysia and Morocco, where Islamic education has been reformed to balance religious teachings with modern civic values, highlighting the compatibility between faith and peaceful coexistence (Bokhari & Ghosh, 2021).

Implementing curriculum reforms requires holistic collaboration among multiple stakeholders, including educators, policymakers, religious leaders, and civil society organizations. Teachers need continuous training to understand and apply innovative pedagogies that encourage inclusive thinking and dialogue (Christmann, 2022). Furthermore, curriculum reform must be supported by policy frameworks that prioritize inclusive education and prevent extremist ideologies from infiltrating learning environments (Dalgaard-Nielsen, 2021). Without systemic alignment, piecemeal interventions risk being ineffective or unsustainable.

Digital literacy must also be embedded within these curriculum reforms. In an era where online platforms have become primary spaces for radical recruitment, young learners must be equipped with the skills to critically evaluate information, identify extremist content, and build resilience against manipulation (Hussain & Saltman, 2019). Embedding media literacy alongside critical thinking ensures that students can navigate the digital landscape responsibly while promoting narratives of peace and inclusivity.

Curriculum reforms that integrate moderation-based values, critical thinking, and civic education are essential for building resilience against extremism and fostering inclusive communities. By combining local cultural wisdom with global best practices, these reforms create transformative educational spaces that prepare students to engage constructively in diverse societies. When implemented collaboratively and supported by comprehensive policies, curriculum reforms become a strategic foundation for countering radicalization and promoting long-term social harmony.

Digital Literacy and Counter-Narratives

In the digital era, the internet has become one of the most influential platforms for shaping people's perceptions and behaviors, including the spread of extremist ideologies. Online spaces provide radical groups with unprecedented opportunities to reach wide audiences, particularly



MUNIF: International Journal Of Religion Moderation

ISSN(Online): XXXX-XXXX

Vol 1 no 1 (2025): June 2025

<https://journal.as-salafiyah.id/index.php/munif/index>

Email: editormunif@gmail.com

youth populations who are active users of social media and digital platforms. Extremist groups strategically exploit online forums, encrypted messaging applications, and video-sharing platforms to disseminate propaganda, recruit members, and normalize violent narratives (Hussain & Saltman, 2019). The speed and reach of digital communication make radicalization processes more pervasive and harder to control, demanding comprehensive strategies to strengthen digital literacy and develop effective counter-narratives.

Promoting digital literacy campaigns is an essential strategy to prevent online radicalization. Digital literacy goes beyond technical skills; it equips individuals with the ability to critically evaluate online information, identify extremist propaganda, and resist manipulation. UNESCO (2020) emphasizes that digital literacy is a key competency for preventing the spread of violent extremism, especially among adolescents and young adults. Integrating digital literacy into school curricula and community programs enables students to critically analyze online content and distinguish between authentic information and extremist rhetoric. Studies show that individuals who possess higher digital literacy skills are significantly less likely to engage with extremist materials or be recruited by radical networks (Livingstone et al., 2021).

In addition to literacy campaigns, counter-narrative strategies play a critical role in disrupting extremist messaging. Counter-narratives involve reinterpreting religious texts and promoting messages that emphasize peace, tolerance, and inclusivity (Briggs & Feve, 2013). These strategies directly challenge the ideological foundations of extremist groups by offering alternative perspectives rooted in both religious and humanitarian values. For example, online videos, podcasts, and infographics produced by credible scholars and community leaders can be effective tools for debunking extremist misinterpretations of religious teachings (Awan & Zempi, 2019). Successful counter-narrative programs also integrate personal stories of former extremists and victims of violence, which have been shown to resonate strongly with at-risk individuals (Koehler, 2021).

Collaboration with social media platforms and technology companies is equally critical to combating online radicalization. Extremist recruiters leverage algorithms and recommendation



MUNIF: International Journal Of Religion Moderation

ISSN(Online): XXXX-XXXX

Vol 1 no 1 (2025): June 2025

<https://journal.as-salafiyah.id/index.php/munif/index>

Email: editormunif@gmail.com

systems to target vulnerable individuals, making it vital for governments and civil society to work alongside tech companies in content moderation and algorithm transparency. For example, Malaysia's Digital Peace Initiative demonstrates the potential of multi-stakeholder partnerships, where government agencies, NGOs, and technology firms collaborate to promote inclusive religious messages and reduce exposure to extremist material (Bokhari & Ghosh, 2021). Similarly, global initiatives like the Christchurch Call to Action have encouraged tech companies to detect and remove extremist content more proactively, creating safer digital environments for users (Dalgaard-Nielsen, 2021).

Media literacy education must be embedded alongside digital literacy efforts. Media literacy equips individuals with the tools to interpret, evaluate, and respond to the ideological framing of online content. It also fosters critical awareness of persuasive techniques often used in extremist propaganda, including emotional manipulation, victimization narratives, and identity-based appeals (Paul & Elder, 2019). By empowering students and communities to actively question extremist narratives, education systems can cultivate digital citizens who contribute positively to pluralistic and inclusive societies (Livingstone et al., 2021).

Counter-narrative strategies must be carefully designed to avoid unintended consequences. Research warns that overly aggressive campaigns risk amplifying extremist messages or alienating communities if they lack cultural and religious sensitivity (Neumann, 2020). To be effective, counter-narratives must be context-specific and community-driven, leveraging local voices, credible authorities, and culturally relevant approaches (Christmann, 2022). Programs that integrate religious scholars, youth influencers, and grassroots organizations are more successful in building trust and engaging vulnerable audiences.

Digital literacy and counter-narratives are indispensable components of innovative deradicalization strategies. By equipping youth and communities with critical digital skills, producing inclusive and persuasive content, and fostering collaboration between governments, civil society, and tech companies, societies can effectively disrupt online extremist networks.



MUNIF: International Journal Of Religion Moderation

ISSN(Online): XXXX-XXXX

Vol 1 no 1 (2025): June 2025

<https://journal.as-salafiyah.id/index.php/munif/index>

Email: editormunif@gmail.com

Integrating these strategies into broader education and policy frameworks builds resilience against radicalization while promoting peaceful coexistence in increasingly interconnected digital spaces.

Interfaith Dialogue and Community Engagement

Efforts to counter violent extremism and promote deradicalization are significantly more effective when communities are actively involved in designing and implementing solutions. Radicalization often thrives in contexts where marginalization, misunderstanding, and distrust dominate intergroup relations. Interfaith dialogue and community engagement provide crucial platforms for fostering empathy, mutual understanding, and collaboration among individuals of diverse religious and cultural backgrounds. By empowering communities to take ownership of peacebuilding initiatives, deradicalization strategies become more sustainable and impactful (Azra, 2021).

Interfaith dialogue plays a vital role in creating inclusive spaces where individuals from different faith traditions can discuss sensitive issues, share experiences, and build common ground. Such dialogues are designed not to debate theological doctrines but to encourage mutual respect, challenge stereotypes, and dismantle misconceptions often exploited by extremist groups (Bokhari & Ghosh, 2021). For example, the UNESCO Framework for Intercultural Dialogue emphasizes the need for ongoing, structured interactions between religious and cultural groups as a mechanism for strengthening social cohesion and preventing conflict (UNESCO, 2020). These dialogues foster transformative learning, where participants expand their worldview, recognize shared values, and commit to peaceful coexistence (Abu-Nimer & Smith, 2016).

Beyond dialogue, collaborative community projects that address shared social challenges are equally powerful tools for reducing communal tensions. Joint initiatives such as environmental clean-up campaigns, disaster response programs, and public health projects encourage people of diverse faiths to work together toward common goals. These forms of cooperative action nurture trust and solidarity, reinforcing the idea that collective well-being transcends religious and cultural boundaries (Putnam, 2020). Research demonstrates that communities involved in joint problem-



MUNIF: International Journal Of Religion Moderation

ISSN(Online): XXXX-XXXX

Vol 1 no 1 (2025): June 2025

<https://journal.as-salafiyah.id/index.php/munif/index>

Email: editormunif@gmail.com

solving are more resilient to extremist narratives, as collaboration strengthens social bonds and reduces vulnerabilities to ideological manipulation (Dalgaard-Nielsen, 2021).

Cultural exchange programs complement these initiatives by promoting awareness of diversity and fostering mutual respect. Activities such as student exchange programs, interfaith festivals, and shared art exhibitions provide experiential opportunities for individuals to interact and appreciate cultural differences (Banks, 2017). These engagements help dismantle prejudices and build inclusive identities, which are vital for preventing the isolation that extremist ideologies often exploit (Mandaville & Hamid, 2022). Moreover, involving youth in cultural exchanges fosters positive social networks and provides them with alternatives to radical pathways, ensuring their integration into diverse societies (Christmann, 2022).

Several case studies illustrate the success of interfaith and community-based strategies. In Indonesia, programs organized by the Ministry of Religious Affairs and supported by local NGOs have brought together Muslim, Christian, Hindu, and Buddhist communities in dialogue forums and joint community service. These initiatives have significantly reduced communal tensions and enhanced mutual trust among participants (Azra, 2021). Similarly, in Nigeria, interfaith mediation facilitated by grassroots organizations has been instrumental in reconciling communities affected by religious violence, demonstrating how localized efforts can complement national deradicalization frameworks (Onapajo, 2020).

Effective interfaith and community engagement also require capacity-building efforts for religious leaders, educators, and civil society actors. Training programs that develop communication skills, conflict resolution techniques, and inclusive leadership practices ensure that stakeholders can guide their communities toward peaceful coexistence (Abu-Nimer & Smith, 2016). These leaders act as credible voices capable of countering extremist narratives with culturally relevant and faith-sensitive perspectives, making deradicalization efforts more legitimate and widely accepted.

Interfaith dialogue and community engagement are indispensable in promoting sustainable deradicalization. By facilitating open communication, fostering collaboration on shared concerns,



MUNIF: International Journal Of Religion Moderation

ISSN(Online): XXXX-XXXX

Vol 1 no 1 (2025): June 2025

<https://journal.as-salafiyah.id/index.php/munif/index>

Email: editormunif@gmail.com

and nurturing cross-cultural understanding, these strategies reduce communal tensions and prevent youth from falling into radical pathways. When supported by government policies, civil society initiatives, and international organizations, these efforts create resilient communities where diversity is celebrated, and peaceful coexistence becomes a shared reality.

Rehabilitation and Reintegration Programs

Efforts to counter violent extremism cannot rely solely on preventive education and digital literacy initiatives; they must also address individuals who have already been influenced by extremist ideologies. For these individuals, rehabilitation and reintegration programs are essential to help them disengage from radical beliefs, recover psychosocial stability, and re-enter society as constructive members. Without comprehensive support, former extremists are at risk of relapse or recidivism, which may further fuel cycles of violence and instability (Horgan, 2021). Successful programs integrate religious counseling, vocational training, and community-based reintegration, offering holistic solutions that address ideological, psychological, and socioeconomic factors simultaneously.

Religious counseling and mentorship form the cornerstone of many rehabilitation initiatives. Extremist ideologies often manipulate religious texts to justify violence, intolerance, and exclusivity. Consequently, credible religious scholars and trained mentors play a vital role in providing inclusive interpretations of faith that emphasize peace, compassion, and coexistence (Aly et al., 2017). For example, Saudi Arabia's Munasaha Program employs respected scholars to engage detainees in structured dialogues, encouraging them to question rigid interpretations and adopt moderate perspectives (Boucek, 2008). Similarly, Indonesia's Badan Nasional Penanggulangan Terorisme (BNPT) integrates faith-based mentorship into its rehabilitation framework, targeting incarcerated extremists and individuals returning from conflict zones to promote wasatiyyah (moderation) as a guiding principle (Azra, 2021).

Vocational training is another critical component of rehabilitation programs. Many individuals drawn into extremist groups experience economic marginalization and lack sustainable livelihoods. By equipping participants with marketable skills and connecting them to employment



MUNIF: International Journal Of Religion Moderation

ISSN(Online): XXXX-XXXX

Vol 1 no 1 (2025): June 2025

<https://journal.as-salafiyah.id/index.php/munif/index>

Email: editormunif@gmail.com

opportunities, rehabilitation initiatives reduce the structural vulnerabilities that make radical recruitment appealing (Weine et al., 2017). Saudi Arabia's rehabilitation centers combine psychosocial therapy with skills development workshops, preparing participants for reintegration into the workforce (El-Said, 2015). In Indonesia, BNPT collaborates with local governments and private sectors to provide entrepreneurship training and micro-financing support, enabling former extremists to rebuild their lives and contribute positively to society (Subekti, 2020).

Equally important is community reintegration, which focuses on rebuilding trust between former extremists and the communities they return to. Reintegration programs create support networks, including peer groups, religious leaders, and civil society organizations, to facilitate acceptance and reduce stigma (Koehler, 2021). Studies show that successful reintegration hinges on community engagement; when communities are actively involved in designing support systems, they are more likely to embrace returnees and prevent social exclusion (Horgan & Braddock, 2010). For instance, Nigeria's Operation Safe Corridor incorporates community reconciliation processes, including storytelling and dialogue circles, to foster mutual understanding between returnees and affected populations (Onapajo, 2020).

Rehabilitation programs often include psychosocial support to address trauma, anxiety, and emotional distress among participants. Exposure to violence and manipulation leaves deep psychological scars, making counseling and therapy vital components of holistic recovery (Ungar, 2017). Programs that combine clinical care with spiritual guidance and community involvement demonstrate higher success rates in preventing re-radicalization (Aly et al., 2017).

Case studies from Saudi Arabia and Indonesia illustrate the effectiveness of integrated rehabilitation frameworks. The Munasaha Program reports recidivism rates below 10%, while BNPT's collaboration with educational institutions and local communities has significantly reduced youth radicalization in vulnerable areas (Boucek, 2008; Azra, 2021). These examples highlight the importance of multi-sectoral collaboration involving governments, NGOs, religious authorities, and private stakeholders in supporting sustainable rehabilitation outcomes.



MUNIF: International Journal Of Religion Moderation

ISSN(Online): XXXX-XXXX

Vol 1 no 1 (2025): June 2025

<https://journal.as-salafiyah.id/index.php/munif/index>

Email: editormunif@gmail.com

Rehabilitation and reintegration programs are vital pillars of comprehensive deradicalization strategies. By combining religious counseling, vocational training, and community-based reintegration, these programs address the ideological, economic, and social dimensions of radicalization. Holistic rehabilitation not only restores individuals' sense of purpose and belonging but also strengthens societal resilience against extremism. Governments and civil society must continue investing in adaptive, culturally sensitive frameworks to ensure long-term success in combating violent extremism.

Policy Implications and Multisector Collaboration

Effective deradicalization strategies require a comprehensive and coordinated approach that involves multiple sectors working together to address the complex drivers of religious extremism. Radicalization is not solely an ideological problem but rather the result of intersecting political, socioeconomic, cultural, and psychological factors. Therefore, deradicalization policies must transcend the limitations of singular interventions and embrace multisectoral collaboration that integrates the efforts of governments, educational institutions, civil society organizations, and international actors (Neumann, 2020). Without such synergy, strategies risk becoming fragmented and ineffective, leaving communities vulnerable to extremist influences.

Government agencies play a central role in ensuring policy alignment, resource allocation, and interagency coordination. National frameworks must incorporate preventive, rehabilitative, and inclusive dimensions to counter radical ideologies effectively. For instance, Indonesia's Badan Nasional Penanggulangan Terorisme (BNPT) has adopted a multi-layered strategy combining law enforcement, education reforms, and community empowerment, showing measurable success in reducing radicalization risks at the grassroots level (Azra, 2021). Similarly, Saudi Arabia's Munasaha Program demonstrates how government-led deradicalization initiatives, when integrated with counseling, vocational training, and social reintegration, can achieve low recidivism rates among former extremists (Boucek, 2008).

Educational institutions are equally critical partners in building resilience against extremist ideologies. Schools, universities, and religious education centers are strategic platforms for



MUNIF: International Journal Of Religion Moderation

ISSN(Online): XXXX-XXXX

Vol 1 no 1 (2025): June 2025

<https://journal.as-salafiyah.id/index.php/munif/index>

Email: editormunif@gmail.com

promoting inclusive values, critical thinking, and digital literacy to prevent radical recruitment (UNESCO, 2020). Integrating peace education and moderation-based curricula equips students with the tools to challenge extremist narratives and fosters respect for diversity (Banks, 2017). For example, Indonesia's inclusion of "Peace Education" modules in madrasa curricula has proven effective in fostering tolerance and reducing ideological susceptibility among students (Bokhari & Ghosh, 2021). Sustainable deradicalization therefore depends on embedding inclusive learning frameworks into national education policies.

Civil society organizations (CSOs) and grassroots movements contribute significantly to deradicalization efforts by building trust within communities and addressing local grievances. CSOs often act as mediators between governments and citizens, ensuring that counter-extremism strategies remain culturally sensitive and contextually relevant (Dalgaard-Nielsen, 2021). Local NGOs in Southeast Asia, for instance, have successfully implemented dialogue forums, youth empowerment programs, and community-based workshops to combat extremist recruitment, especially in vulnerable rural and urban areas (Christmann, 2022). Engaging religious leaders, former extremists, and community elders enhances program credibility and facilitates mutual understanding between stakeholders (Aly et al., 2017).

International collaboration strengthens these localized efforts by facilitating knowledge exchange, capacity building, and resource sharing. Extremism often transcends national borders; thus, combating it requires transnational partnerships that integrate global frameworks with local initiatives (Vidino, 2021). Programs supported by the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) and UNESCO emphasize inclusive development, education reform, and digital literacy as global strategies for preventing violent extremism (UNDP, 2023). Additionally, initiatives such as the Christchurch Call to Action, launched after the 2019 Christchurch attacks, highlight the importance of international cooperation in regulating online extremist content and promoting safer digital spaces (Dalgaard-Nielsen, 2021).

Importantly, policy implications extend beyond immediate deradicalization objectives to broader socioeconomic development goals. Governments must address structural inequalities,



MUNIF: International Journal Of Religion Moderation

ISSN(Online): XXXX-XXXX

Vol 1 no 1 (2025): June 2025

<https://journal.as-salafiyah.id/index.php/munif/index>

Email: editormunif@gmail.com

youth unemployment, and identity-based marginalization that extremist groups exploit to recruit vulnerable individuals (Horgan, 2021). Policies integrating economic empowerment programs, vocational training, and inclusive social services create long-term community resilience and reduce the appeal of radical ideologies (Weine et al., 2017).

Effective deradicalization requires multisector collaboration supported by inclusive, context-specific, and evidence-based policies. Governments must align legal, educational, and community frameworks while fostering partnerships with educational institutions, civil society, and international organizations. By combining efforts at local, national, and global levels, societies can develop comprehensive frameworks that address both the symptoms and root causes of extremism. Such coordinated strategies not only mitigate immediate security threats but also promote social cohesion, tolerance, and sustainable peace.

CONCLUSION

Religious extremism represents a complex and multifaceted challenge that cannot be effectively addressed through singular strategies but rather requires innovative, inclusive, and collaborative approaches involving diverse stakeholders. This study emphasizes the urgent need for comprehensive educational reforms that integrate values of moderation, tolerance, and pluralism into curricula to foster critical thinking and promote respect for diversity from an early age. In addition, the development of digital literacy initiatives and the creation of counter-narratives are vital to protecting vulnerable groups—especially youth—from extremist propaganda and recruitment within the rapidly expanding online environment. Interfaith dialogue and community-based programs also play a central role in promoting empathy, reducing stereotypes, and strengthening social cohesion across religious and cultural divides. Furthermore, effective rehabilitation and reintegration programs are necessary to support individuals disengaging from radical ideologies, providing them with psychosocial assistance, vocational training, and peer support networks that ensure smoother transitions back into society. To maximize the impact of these initiatives, multisector collaboration is indispensable, requiring the



MUNIF: International Journal Of Religion Moderation

ISSN(Online): XXXX-XXXX

Vol 1 no 1 (2025): June 2025

<https://journal.as-salafiyah.id/index.php/munif/index>

Email: editormunif@gmail.com

combined efforts of governments, educational institutions, civil society, and international organizations at local, national, and global levels. By implementing these integrated strategies, societies can build resilience against extremist ideologies and foster inclusive, peaceful communities grounded in empathy, mutual respect, and dialogue. Future research should evaluate the long-term effectiveness of deradicalization frameworks and explore their adaptability across diverse sociocultural contexts to ensure sustainability and relevance

REFERENCE

- Abu-Nimer, M., & Smith, R. (2016). Interfaith dialogue and peacebuilding: A conceptual framework. *Peace and Conflict Studies*, 23(2), 45–63.
- Aly, A., Taylor, E., & Karnovsky, S. (2017). Moral disengagement and building resilience to violent extremism: An education intervention. *Studies in Conflict & Terrorism*, 40(1), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1057610X.2016.1151685>
- Awan, I., & Zempi, I. (2019). *Islamophobia in cyberspace: Hate crimes go viral*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315682077>
- Awan, I., & Zempi, I. (2019). *Islamophobia in cyberspace: Hate crimes go viral*. Routledge.
- Azra, A. (2021). Peace education and the prevention of religious extremism in Indonesia. *Journal of Islamic Education*, 9(2), 145–162. <https://doi.org/10.18860/jie.v9i2.11201>
- Banks, J. A. (2017). *Diversity and citizenship education: Global perspectives* (2nd ed.). Jossey-Bass.
- Bjørge, T., & Horgan, J. (2020). *Leaving terrorism behind: Individual and collective disengagement*. Routledge.
- Bokhari, L., & Ghosh, B. (2021). Preventing violent extremism through education and community engagement in Southeast Asia. *Journal of Peace Education*, 18(3), 321–338. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17400201.2021.1942624>
- Boucek, C. (2008). Saudi Arabia's "Soft" counterterrorism strategy: Prevention, rehabilitation, and aftercare. *Carnegie Papers*, 1–28. <https://carnegieendowment.org>
- Briggs, R. (2021). *Deradicalization and disengagement from violent extremism*. Springer Nature.



MUNIF: International Journal Of Religion Moderation

ISSN(Online): XXXX-XXXX

Vol 1 no 1 (2025): June 2025

<https://journal.as-salafiyah.id/index.php/munif/index>

Email: editormunif@gmail.com

Briggs, R., & Feve, S. (2013). Review of programs to counter narratives of violent extremism.

Institute for Strategic Dialogue.

Brookfield, S. D. (2017). *Becoming a critically reflective teacher* (2nd ed.). Jossey-Bass.

Brown, K. E. (2020). Gender, radicalization, and countering violent extremism. *Critical Studies on Terrorism*, 13(2), 213–231. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17539153.2019.1704240>

Christmann, K. (2022). Building local resilience to extremism through community engagement. *International Journal of Conflict and Violence*, 16(1), 55–72. <https://doi.org/10.4119/ijcv-3022>

Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Dalgaard-Nielsen, A. (2021). Countering violent extremism through educational policy reforms. *Terrorism and Political Violence*, 33(7), 1341–1360. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09546553.2020.1832305>

El-Said, H. (2015). *New approaches to countering terrorism: Designing and evaluating counter-radicalization and deradicalization programs*. Palgrave Macmillan. <https://doi.org/10.1057/9781137449983>

Fink, N. C., & Hearne, E. B. (2020). *Beyond terrorism: Deradicalization and rehabilitation in Southeast Asia*. International Peace Institute.

Horgan, J. (2021). Evaluating deradicalization programs: Policy lessons and best practices. *Annual Review of Political Science*, 24(1), 189–210. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-polisci-051120-010643>

Horgan, J., & Braddock, K. (2010). Rehabilitating the terrorists? Challenges in assessing the effectiveness of deradicalization programs. *Terrorism and Political Violence*, 22(2), 267–291. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09546551003594748>

Hussain, G., & Saltman, E. (2019). *Jihad trending: Online extremism and social media*. Quilliam Foundation.



MUNIF: International Journal Of Religion Moderation

ISSN(Online): XXXX-XXXX

Vol 1 no 1 (2025): June 2025

<https://journal.as-salafiyah.id/index.php/munif/index>

Email: editormunif@gmail.com

- Khalil, J., & Zeuthen, M. (2019). Countering violent extremism and development assistance: Identifying synergies and contradictions. *Stability: International Journal of Security and Development*, 8(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.5334/sta.683>
- Koehler, D. (2021). Understanding deradicalization: Evaluating community reintegration frameworks. *Journal for Deradicalization*, 28, 1–25. <https://journals.sfu.ca/jd/index.php/jd/article/view/313>
- Levinson, M. (2018). *Civic education in multicultural democracies*. Routledge.
- Livingstone, S., Byrne, J., & Bulger, M. (2021). *Digital literacy and youth empowerment in the online era*. Oxford University Press.
- Mandaville, P., & Hamid, S. (2022). *Islam as statecraft: How governments use religion in foreign policy*. Brookings Institution Press.
- Merriam, S. B., & Tisdell, E. J. (2016). *Qualitative research: A guide to design and implementation* (4th ed.). Jossey-Bass.
- Miles, M. B., Huberman, A. M., & Saldaña, J. (2014). *Qualitative data analysis: A methods sourcebook* (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Moghaddam, F. M. (2021). *The staircase to terrorism: Understanding and countering the terrorist mindset*. American Psychological Association. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0000245-000>
- Neumann, P. R. (2020). The trouble with counter-narratives: Pitfalls and lessons learned. *International Affairs*, 96(3), 567–588. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ia/iiz123>
- Onapajo, H. (2020). Community reconciliation and reintegration in Nigeria's Operation Safe Corridor. *Conflict Studies Quarterly*, 31(1), 21–38. <https://doi.org/10.24193/csq.31.2>
- Putnam, R. D. (2020). *The upswing: How America came together a century ago and how we can do it again*. Simon & Schuster.
- Schmid, A. P. (2021). Preventing violent extremism: An analysis of 10 years of research. *Perspectives on Terrorism*, 15(5), 45–67.
- Subekti, A. (2020). Entrepreneurship-based deradicalization: BNPT's approach to economic empowerment. *Asian Social Science*, 16(6), 77–86. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ass.v16n6p77>



MUNIF: International Journal Of Religion Moderation

ISSN(Online): XXXX-XXXX

Vol 1 no 1 (2025): June 2025

<https://journal.as-salafiyah.id/index.php/munif/index>

Email: editormunif@gmail.com

- UNDP. (2023). Preventing violent extremism through inclusive development and cross-sector collaboration. UNDP Publications. <https://www.undp.org>
- UNESCO. (2020). Intercultural dialogue and peacebuilding strategies: A policy framework. UNESCO Publishing. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000373792>
- Ungar, M. (2017). Building psychosocial resilience among vulnerable populations. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 87(2), 114–125. <https://doi.org/10.1037/ort0000205>
- United Nations Development Programme. (2023). Preventing violent extremism through promoting inclusive development, tolerance, and respect for diversity. UNDP Publications. <https://www.undp.org>
- Vidino, L. (2021). Countering Islamist radicalization in America: Lessons from Europe. *Studies in Conflict & Terrorism*, 44(5), 367–392. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1057610X.2020.1759263>
- Yin, R. K. (2018). *Case study research and applications: Design and methods* (6th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Youngblood, M. (2020). Extremist contagion in social networks. *Nature Human Behaviour*, 4(12), 1228–1236. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-020-00930-2>